

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TERRI ESPINOZA, No C 07-3115 VRW
Plaintiff, ORDER
v
MICHAEL J ASTRUE, Commissioner of
Social Security,
Defendant.

On February 9, 2009, the last day on which plaintiff Terri Espinoza could file a notice of appeal in the above-captioned case pursuant to FRAP 4(a)(1)(B), plaintiff, through counsel, moved to extend time to file a notice of appeal. Doc #19. The court may grant plaintiff's motion if she demonstrates good cause pursuant to FRAP 4(a)(5)(A)(ii).

Plaintiff submits that good cause exists because she needs additional time to find a lawyer to represent her on appeal.

1 Doc #19. But plaintiff does not explain why her current counsel
2 cannot or will not represent her on appeal. Accordingly, plaintiff
3 or her current counsel are DIRECTED to explain IN WRITING why good
4 cause exists in light of plaintiff's current representation by
5 counsel.

6

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 
9

10 VAUGHN R WALKER
11 United States District Chief Judge
12

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28